

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	ATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/096,515	06/12/98	INOUE		Y	35.G2190
Γ			一		EXAMINER
005514		PM82/1218	·		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA				DORSE	
NEW YORK NY					13
				3635	7 3
				DATE MAIL	ED:
		•	12/18/00		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/096,515

Dennis L. Dorsey

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Yuji Inoue et al.

3635

Responsive to communication(s) filed on Dec 11, 2000	··································
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 5 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure tapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensic 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) <u>1-3, 5, and 13</u>	
Claim(s)	
X Claim(s) 4 and 6-12	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	1 Review PTO-948
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	-
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	iosppiovodsisappiovod.
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
🔀 received.	
$\square$ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	nber)
$\square$ received in this national stage application from the I	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	o(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	8
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	HE FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 3635

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness 1.

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 4 and 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Itoyama et al. in view Hayami and Applicant's Disclosure.

Itoyama et al. teaches all the limitations of the above claims except the composition of the

jacket of the connector and electrical lead, and the electrical connector contacting the backing

material. Itoyama teaches solar cell (101), fixed to a metal substrate (107), fixed to a backing

material (104), electrically connected (113) between the backing material and building material,

spacers (102), power converter (column 3, lines 12-15), and air flow apparatus (see figure 8).

The Applicant teaches that it is know in the art to lengthen the electrical connector causing it to

be in contact with the backing material to make connecting the panels easier (page 3, lines 18-25).

Hayami teaches lead wire surrounded by a jacket made composed of polyethylene resin It would

be obvious to select such a material that is well known in the art. One skilled in the art at the time

the invention was made would select this composition to provide superior protection of the

electrical lead.

Art Unit: 3635

## Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis L. Dorsey whose telephone number is (703) 306-9137.

Carl D. Friedman
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600

December 15, 2000